



## Conditional and independent events

## Key focus

This LO distinguishes independent events (one event's outcome does not affect the probability of another, e.g. two separate dice rolls, where  $P(A \cap B) = P(A) \times P(B)$ ) from conditional/dependent events (one event changes the probability of another, e.g. drawing a second card without replacement, written  $P(B | A)$ , "the probability of  $B$  given  $A$ "). The central test is comparing  $P(B)$  with  $P(B | A)$ : if they are equal,  $A$  and  $B$  are independent; if different, they are dependent. Without-replacement trees, a Venn diagram's overlap, and two-way tables all give concrete ways to calculate and compare these probabilities.

## Suggested teaching sequence

1. Introduce independent events with two fair coin tosses: the 1st toss cannot affect the 2nd, so  $P(HH) = P(H) \times P(H)$ .
2. Introduce dependent/conditional events with a without-replacement bag draw: removing a counter changes what's left, so the 2nd draw's probabilities depend on the 1st result. Build the tree explicitly, showing how the 2nd-stage branches change depending on which 1st-stage branch was taken.
3. Introduce  $P(B | A)$  notation and read it aloud as "the probability of  $B$ , given  $A$  has happened." Contrast with the plain (unconditional)  $P(B)$ .
4. Establish the independence test: compute  $P(B)$  and  $P(B | A)$  separately and compare. Equal  $\Rightarrow$  independent; different  $\Rightarrow$  dependent.
5. Show the same test using a Venn diagram (e.g. Red cards and Hearts):  $P(\text{Heart})$  overall vs.  $P(\text{Heart} | \text{Red})$ , reasoning from the overlap and the "outside" region.
6. Show the same test using a two-way table: a conditional probability is a joint cell divided by its row or column total (e.g.  $P(\text{Tidy} | \text{Sport}) = \frac{\text{Sport-and-Tidy count}}{\text{Sport total}}$ ), which is easy to read directly off the table.
7. Combine branches in a without-replacement tree for combined-event questions: "one red, one blue, either order" adds two leaf probabilities; "at least one blue" uses the complement of "both red".
8. Address the gambler's fallacy directly: for genuinely independent events, an earlier outcome does not change a later probability, however tempting it feels.
9. Discuss real-world caution: a correlation in a survey (e.g. left-handed students who play guitar) is evidence of association, but should be checked against sample size and other explanations before concluding real dependence.

## Core examples to model

- Independent: two fair coins,  $P(HH) = \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{4}$ .
- Conditional (without replacement): a bag with 2 red, 1 blue counter – after removing a red,

$P(\text{2nd Red} \mid \text{1st Red}) = \frac{1}{2}$ ; after removing a blue,  $P(\text{2nd Red} \mid \text{1st Blue}) = 1$ .

- Comparing  $P(B)$  and  $P(B \mid A)$ :  $P(B) = 0.5$ ,  $P(B \mid A) = 0.8$  – not equal, so  $A$  and  $B$  are dependent.
- Venn/overlap check: of 20 cards,  $P(\text{Heart}) = \frac{5}{20} = \frac{1}{4}$  but  $P(\text{Heart} \mid \text{Red}) = \frac{5}{10} = \frac{1}{2}$  – dependent, because every Heart is Red.
- Two-way table check:  $P(\text{Tidy} \mid \text{Sport}) = \frac{15}{40} = 0.375$  vs.  $P(\text{Tidy}) = \frac{25}{100} = 0.25$  – dependent.
- Combined events (without replacement):  $P(\text{one Red, one Blue, either order}) = P(RB) + P(BR) = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} = \frac{2}{3}$ .

### Watch for

- Assuming any two events happening in sequence must be dependent – sequence alone does not imply dependence (e.g. two separate coin tosses).
- Forgetting that removing an item without replacement changes BOTH the total and, sometimes, the count of a specific outcome remaining.
- The gambler's fallacy: believing an independent event "owes" a different outcome after a run of the same result.
- Concluding dependence from a single small-sample survey observation without checking whether the association could be coincidence.
- Confusing  $P(B \mid A)$  with  $P(A \mid B)$  – these are generally different probabilities and swapping them is a common error.

### Checks for understanding

- Can students explain the difference between independent and conditional (dependent) events, with an example of each?
- Can they build and read a without-replacement tree, where the 2nd-stage branches change based on the 1st-stage outcome?
- Can they test independence by comparing  $P(B)$  with  $P(B \mid A)$ , using a tree, a Venn diagram, or a two-way table?
- Can they calculate a combined-event probability (e.g. "either order", "at least one") from a without-replacement tree?
- Can they critique a probability claim that confuses independence with dependence, including the gambler's fallacy?